Query Processing

Chapter 12
What we want to cover today

• RDBMS architecture
• Overview of query processing
• Join algorithms
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OVERVIEW
Basic Steps in Query Processing

1. Query
2. Parser and Translator
3. Relational-Algebra Expression
4. Optimizer
5. Execution Plan
6. Evaluation Engine
7. Data
8. Statistics about Data

Output: Query Output
Cost Measures

• Query cost is generally measured as total elapsed time for answering query
  – Many factors contribute to time cost
    • disk accesses, CPU, or even network communication
• Typically disk access is the predominant cost, and is also relatively easy to estimate. Measured by taking into account
  – Number of seeks * average-seek-cost
  – Number of blocks read * average-block-read-cost
  – Number of blocks written * average-block-write-cost

• **NOTE:** Cost to write a block is greater than cost to read a block
  – data is read back after being written to ensure that the write was successful
Cost Measures (Cont.)

• For simplicity we just use the number of block transfers from disk and the number of seeks as the cost measures
  – $t_T$ – time to transfer one block
  – $t_S$ – time for one seek
  – Cost for $b$ block transfers plus $S$ seeks
    \[ b \cdot t_T + S \cdot t_S \]
• We ignore CPU costs for simplicity
  – Real systems do take CPU cost into account
• We do not include cost to writing output to disk in our cost formulae
Cost Measures (Cont.)

• Several algorithms can reduce disk IO by using extra buffer space
  – Amount of real memory available to buffer depends on other concurrent queries and OS processes, known only during execution
    • We often use worst case estimates, assuming only the minimum amount of memory needed for the operation is available

• Required data may be buffer resident already, avoiding disk I/O
  – But hard to take into account for cost estimation
Evaluation of Expressions

- **Materialization**: generate results of an expression whose inputs are relations or are already computed, **materialize** (store) it on disk.

- **Pipelining**: pass on tuples to parent operations even as an operation is being executed.
Materialization

- **Materialized evaluation**: evaluate one operation at a time, starting at the lowest-level. Use intermediate results materialized into temporary relations to evaluate next-level operations.
- E.g., in figure below, compute and store

\[ \sigma_{\text{building} = \text{"Watson"}}(\text{department}) \]

then compute the store its join with instructor, and finally compute the projection on name.
Pipelining

• Result of one operator pipelined to another without creating temporary table

• Pipelines can be executed in two ways: demand driven and producer driven

Pipelined Evaluation
Pipelining (Cont.)

• In **demand driven** or **lazy** evaluation
  – system repeatedly requests next tuple from top level operation
  – Each operation requests next tuple from children operations as required, in order to output its next tuple
  – In between calls, operation has to maintain "state" so it knows what to return next

• In **producer-driven** or **eager** pipelining
  – Operators produce tuples eagerly and pass them up to their parents
    • Buffer maintained between operators, child puts tuples in buffer, parent removes tuples from buffer
    • if buffer is full, child waits till there is space in the buffer, and then generates more tuples
  – System schedules operations that have space in output buffer and can process more input tuples

• Alternative name: **pull** and **push** models of pipelining
Other Common Techniques

- **Indexing**: Can use WHERE conditions to retrieve small set of tuples (selections, joins)
- **Iteration**: Sometimes, faster to scan all tuples even if there is an index. (And sometimes, we can scan the data entries in an index instead of the table itself.)
- **Partitioning**: By using sorting or hashing, we can partition the input tuples and replace an expensive operation by similar operations on smaller inputs.
Iterator Interface

• Relational operators at nodes in plan tree support a uniform iterator interface
  – **Open**: initializes state by allocating input and output buffers, passes arguments to operator.
  – **Get_next**: calls operator specific code to process input tuples and generate output tuples.
  – **Close**: deallocates state info when all output produced.

• Hides whether operator pipelines or materializes input tuples

• Also used to encapsulate access methods like B+tree and hash indexes.
Statistics and Catalogs

• Need information about the relations and indexes involved. **Catalogs** typically contain at least:
  – # tuples (NTuples) and # pages (NPages) for each relation.
  – # distinct key values (NKeys) and NPages for each index.
  – Index height, low/high key values (Low/High) for each tree index.

• Catalogs updated periodically.
  – Updating whenever data changes is too expensive; lots of approximation anyway, so slight inconsistency ok.

• More detailed information (e.g., histograms of the values in some field) are sometimes stored.
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JOIN ALGORITHMS
Join Operation

- Several different algorithms to implement joins
  - Nested-loop join
  - Block nested-loop join
  - Indexed nested-loop join
  - Merge-join
  - Hash-join
- Choice based on cost estimate
- Examples use the following information
  - Number of records of student: 5,000  takes: 10,000
  - Number of blocks of student: 100  takes: 400
Nested-Loop Join

• To compute the theta join \( r \bowtie_\theta s \)
  for each tuple \( t_r \) in \( r \) do begin
    for each tuple \( t_s \) in \( s \) do begin
      test pair \( (t_r, t_s) \) to see if they satisfy the join condition \( \theta \)
      if they do, add \( t_r \cdot t_s \) to the result.
    end
  end

• \( r \) is called the **outer relation** and \( s \) the **inner relation** of the join.
Nested-Loop Join (Cont.)

• In the worst case, if there is enough memory only to hold one block of each relation, the estimated cost is
  \[ n_r \times b_s + b_r \] block transfers, plus
  \[ n_r + b_r \] seeks
  where \( n_r \) is number of records in \( r \), \( b_r \) and \( b_s \) are number of blocks in \( r \) and \( s \), respectively
• If the smaller relation fits entirely in memory, use that as the inner relation.
  – Reduces cost to \( b_r + b_s \) block transfers and 2 seeks
• Assuming worst case memory availability cost estimate is
  – with \textit{student} as outer relation:
    • \( 5000 \times 400 + 100 = 2,000,100 \) block transfers,
    • \( 5000 + 100 = 5100 \) seeks
  – with \textit{takes} as the outer relation
    • \( 10000 \times 100 + 400 = 1,000,400 \) block transfers and 10,400 seeks
Block Nested Loops

- If $M$ pages of memory available
  - Use $M - 2$ pages as blocking unit for outer relation; use remaining two pagers to buffer inner relation and output
- Cost = $\left\lceil \frac{b_r}{(M-2)} \right\rceil \times b_s + b_r \text{ block transfers} + 2 \left\lceil \frac{b_r}{(M-2)} \right\rceil \text{ seeks}$
• Worst case \((M = 3 \text{ pages})\) estimate:
  \[b_r \times b_s + b_r \text{ block transfers}\]
  \[2 \times b_r \text{ seeks}\]
  – Each block in the inner relation \(s\) is read once for each \(block\) in the outer relation
  – With \textit{student} as outer relation cost:
    \[100 \times 400 + 100 = 40,100\] transfers and 200 seeks

• If we have \(M = 12 \text{ pages}\) of memory available
  – With \textit{student} as outer relation:
    \[(100 / 10) \times 400 + 100 = 4100\] transfers and \(2 \times (100 / 10) = 20\) seeks

• Best case \((M = b_r \text{ pages})\): \(b_r + b_s \text{ block transfers + 2 seeks.}\)
Hash-Join

- Applicable for equi-joins and natural joins.
- A hash function $h$ is used to partition tuples of both relations.
- $h$ maps $JoinAttrs$ values to $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, where $JoinAttrs$ denotes the common attributes of $r$ and $s$ used in the natural join.
  - $r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_n$ denote partitions of $r$ tuples
    - Each tuple $t_r \in r$ is put in partition $r_i$ where $i = h(t_r [JoinAttrs])$.
  - $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n$ denotes partitions of $s$ tuples
    - Each tuple $t_s \in s$ is put in partition $s_i$, where $i = h(t_s [JoinAttrs])$. 
Hash-Join (Cont.)

The diagram illustrates the process of hash join. It shows two datasets, represented as columns on the left and right sides. Each column is divided into partitions, indicated by numbers 0 to 4. The arrows between the partitions show how records are matched and combined efficiently.

- The top part of the diagram shows the hash join setup for a pair of partitions from each dataset.
- The bottom part demonstrates how the join is executed, with arrows indicating the flow of matched records.
Hash-Join Algorithm

The hash-join of $r$ and $s$ is computed as follows.

1. Partition the relation $r$ using hashing function $h$. When partitioning a relation, one block of memory is reserved as the output buffer for each partition.

2. Partition $s$ similarly.

3. For each $i$:
   
   (a) Load $r_i$ into memory and build an in-memory hash index on it using the join attribute. This hash index uses a different hash function than the earlier one $h$.

   (b) Read the tuples in $s_i$ from the disk one by one. For each tuple $t_s$ locate each matching tuple $t_r$ in $r_i$ using the in-memory hash index. Output the concatenation of their attributes.

Relation $r$ is called the **build input** and $s$ is called the **probe input**.
Hash-Join Algorithm (Cont.)

- The value $n$ and the hash function $h$ are chosen such that each $r_i$ should fit in memory.
  - Typically $n$ is chosen as $\lceil b_r/M \rceil \times f$ where $f$ is a “fudge factor”, typically around 1.2
  - The probe relation partitions $s_i$ need not fit in memory
- **Recursive partitioning** required if number of partitions $n$ is greater than number of pages $M$ of memory.
  - instead of partitioning $n$ ways, use $M - 1$ partitions for $r$
  - Further partition the $M - 1$ partitions using a different hash function
  - Use same partitioning method on $s$
  - Rarely required: e.g., with block size of 4 KB, recursive partitioning not needed for relations of < 1GB with memory size of 2MB, or relations of < 36 GB with memory of 12 MB
Hash Join - Overflows

• Partitioning is said to be **skewed** if some partitions have significantly more tuples than some others

• **Hash-table overflow** occurs in partition $r_i$ if $r_i$ does not fit in memory. Reasons could be
  – Many tuples in $r$ with same value for join attributes
  – Bad hash function

• **Overflow resolution** can be done in build phase
  – Partition $r_i$ is further partitioned using different hash function.
  – Partition $s_i$ must be similarly partitioned.

• **Overflow avoidance** performs partitioning carefully to avoid overflows during build phase
  – E.g. partition build relation into many partitions, then combine them

• Both approaches fail with large numbers of duplicates
  – Fallback option: use block nested loops join on overflowed partitions