

CISC 322/326 Project (A3) Presentation: Marking Scheme

Team Name:

Team Members:

Quality of Presentation

/20

- Well rehearsed presentation within time limit
- Group participation
- One approach is chosen to implement the feature
- Discussion of proposed approach and alternatives
- SAAM analysis is performed
- Presentation of the potential impact architecture
- Use cases, sequence diagrams, and state diagrams are used to better describe the flow of data and control in the architecture
- Good use of diagrams (clear and with legends) and tables
- Learned lessons are discussed with rationale
- Discussions of the limitations of reported findings, if any
- Closing: main points reiterated, positive attitude

Quality of Software Architecture

/40

- The new feature is well-motivated
- Presentation of at least two approaches to realize the new feature
- SAAM analysis is sound
 - o Point out the stakeholders
 - o Clearly outline the advantages and disadvantages of each approach
- Discussion of the potential impacted subsystems
 - o Point out and explain the architectural style (or design), if any
- Discussion about the potential risks and limitations of the approach
- Discussion about the plans for testing the impact of interactions between the proposed enhancement and other features
- The effects of concurrency and team issues on the architecture are presented

Quality of Responses to the Questions

/10

- Provided slide printout (4 copies)
- Polite and professional
- Knowledgeable answers to questions
- Participated in discussions for other presentations

TOTAL (7% of final grade)

/70
