

# CISC327 - Software Quality Assurance

## Lecture 8

### Introduction to Systematic Testing, part 1

# Introduction to Systematic Testing

- **Outline**

- Today we begin a thorough look at software **testing**
- **Definitions**: What is software testing?
- Role of **specifications**
- **Levels of testing**:  
unit, integration, system, acceptance

# What is Testing?

- Testing is the process of executing software in a **controlled** manner to answer a question:
  - "Does the software behave as specified?"
- Implies that we have a specification\*
  - Possibly that the tests are the specification
- Or implies that we have some **property** we wish to test for independently of the specification
  - e.g., "All paths in the code are reachable, no dead code"
- Testing is often associated with the words **validation** and **verification**

\* compare <http://www.freeindiegam.es/2013/08/become-a-great-artist-in-just-10-seconds-andi-mcclure-michael-brough/>

# What is Systematic Testing?

- An explicit discipline or procedure (a system) for
  - choosing and creating test cases
  - executing the tests and documenting the results
  - evaluating the results, possibly automatically
  - deciding when we are done (enough testing)

# What is Systematic Testing?

- Because in general it is impossible to ever test completely, each systematic method chooses a particular **point of view** and tests only from that point of view (the test **criterion**)
  - e.g., test only that every decision (**if statement**) can be executed either way

# Verification vs. Validation

- **Verification**

- The checking or testing of software (or anything else) for conformance and consistency with a **given specification**

- Answers the question "**are we doing the job right?**"

- **Testing** is most useful in verification, although it is just one part of it

- Inspection, measurement, analysis and formal methods are also important

# Verification vs. **Validation**

- **Validation**

- The process of checking that what has been specified is what the user actually wanted
  - Answers the question "**are we doing the right job?**"
- Validation usually involves meetings, reviews, and discussions to check that what has been **specified** is what was **intended**
- **Testing** is less useful in validation, although it can have a role

# Validation vs. Verification

- **Verification**

- Check that the software meets its stated **functional** and **non-functional requirements**

- **Validation**

- More general than verification, ensure that the software **meets the customer's expectations**
- Requirements specifications do not always reflect the **real wishes** or **needs** of system customers and users



# Testing vs. Debugging

- **Debugging is not Testing**
  - **Debugging** is the process of analyzing and locating bugs when the software does not behave as expected
  - **Testing** plays the much more comprehensive role of methodically searching for and **exposing** bugs, not just fixing those that happen to show up by playing with the software
  - Debugging therefore **supports** testing but cannot replace it
  - However, **no** amount of testing is guaranteed to find all bugs
    - (except possibly **exhaustive** testing, where practical)

# Exhaustive Testing vs. ...

- Occasionally you **can** test exhaustively:
  - Let's write all the tests for a NAND ("not AND" or "Sheffer stroke") operation
  - Tests are just the same as the specification (truth table):
    - A    B    A NAND B
    - true true   false
    - true false   true
    - false true   true
    - false false   true
  - Only 4 possible combinations of A and B, so 4 test cases

# Exhaustive Testing vs. ...

- Most software, even most individual methods, can't be exhaustively tested
  - Examples:
    - There are infinitely many integers
    - Even a 32-bit integer (small these days) has ~4 billion possible values
    - Strings: way too many strings to test
  - Compilers are an extreme case: the set of possible inputs to a C compiler is the set of all C programs (and many “almost” C programs, e.g. programs with syntax errors)

# The Role of Specifications

- **The Need for Specification**
  - Validation and verification activities, such as testing, cannot be meaningful unless we have a **specification** for the software
  - The software we are building could be a single module or class, or could be an **entire system**
  - Depending on the size of the project and the development methods, specifications can range from a single page to a **complex hierarchy** of interrelated documents

# Levels of Specification

- **Three Levels**

- Specifications of large systems usually contain at least **three levels** of software specification documents

1. **Functional** specifications (or **requirements**)

2. **Design** specifications

3. **Detailed design** specifications

# Levels of Specification

- 1) **Functional specifications (requirements)**
  - Give a precise description of the required behaviour (functionality) of the system
  - Describe **what** the software should do, not how it should do it
  - May also describe **constraints** on how this can be achieved
    - **Example:** When the user chooses the "Exit" menu item, bring up the "Save" dialog if the current document has not been saved, otherwise terminate the program

# Levels of Specification

- 2) **Design specifications**
  - Describe the **architecture** of the design to implement the functional specification
  - Describe the **components** of the software and how they are to relate to one another
    - **Example:** A UML diagram and associated documentation describing the relationship between a document object and a spelling checker

# Levels of Specification

- 3) **Detailed design specifications**
  - Describe how each component of the architecture, down to the individual code **units**, is to be implemented
    - **Example:** A detailed description of the Document object, including the data structures used to store the information, relationships with other objects, and so on



# Levels of Testing

- **Corresponding Test Levels**

- Given the hierarchy of specifications, it is usual to structure testing into three (or more) corresponding levels
  - **3)** (detailed design) **Unit** Testing
  - **2)** (design specifications) **Integration** Testing
  - **1)** (functional specifications) **System** Testing
- To these levels, we usually add:
  - **0)** **Acceptance** Testing

# Levels of Testing

- **Corresponding Test Levels**
  - 3) **Unit** testing addresses the **verification** that individual components of the architecture meet their **detailed design** specification
  - 2) **Integration** testing (a.k.a. **component** testing) **verifies** that the groups of units corresponding to architectural elements of the **design** specification can be integrated to work as a whole

# Levels of Testing

- **Corresponding Test Levels**
  - 1) **System** testing **verifies** that the integrated total product has the functionality specified in the **functional** specification
  - 0) **Acceptance** testing, in which the actual customers **validate** that the software meets their real intentions as well as what has been functionally specified, and **accept** the result

# An Integral Task: Tests as Goals

- Once each level of specification is written, the next step is to write the **tests** for that level
  - **XP** speeds this by making the tests themselves the **specification**
- It is important that the tests be designed **without knowledge** of the software implementation
  - In **XP**, before implementation
- Otherwise we are tempted to simply test the software for what it **actually** does, not what it should do

# Using Tests

- **Evaluating Tests**
  - Within each level of testing, once the tests have been applied, test results are **evaluated**
  - If a problem is encountered, then either:
    - a) the **tests are wrong**:  
the **tests** are revised and applied again, or
    - b) the **software is wrong**:  
the **software** is fixed and the tests are applied again
  - In either case, the tests are applied again, and so on, until no more problems are found, at which point development can proceed to the **next level** of testing

# Test Evolution

- Tests Don't Die!
  - Testing does **not** end when the software is accepted by the customer
  - Tests must be **repeated**, **modified** and **extended** to ensure that no **existing** functionality has been broken, and that any **new** functionality is implemented according to the revised specifications and design

# Test Evolution

- **Tests Don't Die!**
  - Maintenance of the **tests** for a system is a major part of the effort to maintain and evolve a software system while retaining a high level of quality
  - To make this continual testing practical, **automation** plays a large role in software testing methods

# Summary

- **Introduction to Testing**
  - Testing addresses primarily the **verification** that software meets its specifications
  - Without some kind of **specification**, we cannot test
  - Testing is done at several **levels**, corresponding to the levels of functional, design, and detailed specifications in reverse order
  - Testing is not finished at acceptance, it remains for the **life** of the software system



# Summary

- **References**
  - Sommerville, ch. 8, "Software Testing"
  - The Software Test Page (on the web)