

## Verifying Algorithms

We continue the discussion how to validate correctness statements for the central programming language constructs. Next we consider loops, see Section 2.9 in the textbook.

The inference rule for while statements is:

$$\frac{I \& \& B \{C\} I}{I \{ \text{while}(B)C \} I \& \& !B}$$

Above assertion  $I$  is called the loop invariant. Below we discuss justification for this rule, for details see Section 2.9.

```
/* other part of the program */
```

```
    <-- (1)
```

```
while(B) {
```

```
    <-- (2)
```

```
    C
```

```
    <-- (3)
```

```
}
```

```
    <-- (4)
```

```
/* rest of the program */
```

- (1) Here the program is in a state satisfying the pre-condition  $I$ .
- (2) Here the program is in a state satisfying the assertion  $I \& \& B$ .
- (3) Here  $B$  may or may not be true.
- (4) Here the program is in a state satisfying  $I \& \& !B$  (assuming the  $C$  preserves the assertion  $I$ ).

Hence the proof tableau can be written as:

```
/* other part of the program */

ASSERT(I)
while(B) {
    ASSERT(I && B)

    C

    ASSERT(I)
}
ASSERT(I && !B)

/* other part of the program */
```

Above we need to assume that evaluating the expression B does not change the state.

**Example.** Choose a suitable loop invariant and complete the proof tableau for the following correctness statement:

```
ASSERT(true)
i = 0;
j = 100;
while( i <= 100 ) {
    i = i+1;
    j = j-1;
}
ASSERT( i == 101 && j == -1)
```

## Termination

Above we have discussed correctness statements of the form

$$P \{ \text{while}(B) C \} Q$$

However, the corresponding inference rule establishes only that if the program is started in a state satisfying  $P$ , then always when it terminates the state satisfies assertion  $Q$ . That is, we cannot exclude the possibility of non-termination and thus the inference rule establishes only *partial correctness*.

In order to prove *total correctness*, we need to prove that the execution of the loop always terminates. A typical way to do this is to use a suitable “variant” integer expression that is

- strictly decreased (respectively, increased) by each iteration of the loop, and
- must remain greater than a given lower bound (respectively, less than some upper bound).

**Example.** The following code to compute powers is partially correct. How should the pre-condition and the invariant be modified in order to guarantee total correctness.

```

ASSERT( n >= 0 )
i = 0; y = 1;
while ( i != n )
INVAR( i >= 0 && y == power(x, i))
{
    y = y*x*x;
    i = i+2;
}
ASSERT( y == power(x, n))

```

**Note.** There is *no general algorithm* to determine whether or not a given (while) loop terminates. We will come back to this question at the end of the course. It may be interesting to note that there exist very innocent looking while-loops for which *no one knows* whether or not the loop terminates for all variable values, for example, see Program 2.7 on page 55 in the text.