Query Optimization

The System R Optimizer

Highlights of System R Optimizer

- Impact:
- Most widely used currently; works well for < 10 joins.
- Cost estimation: Approximate art at best.
 - Statistics, maintained in system catalogs, used to estimate cost of operations and result sizes.
- Considers combination of CPU and I/O costs.
- Plan Space: Too large, must be pruned.
 - Only the space of *left-deep plans* is considered.
 Left-deep plans allow output of each operator to be *pipelined* into the next operator without storing it in a temporary relation.

2

3

- Cartesian products avoided.

CISC 432/832

Overview of Query Optimization

- <u>Plan:</u> Tree of R.A. ops, with choice of alg for each op.
 Each operator typically implemented using a `pull' interface: when an operator is `pulled' for the next output tuples, it `pulls' on its inputs and computes them.
- Two main issues:
 - For a given query, what plans are considered?
 - Algorithm to search plan space for cheapest (estimated) plan.
 - How is the cost of a plan estimated?
- Ideally: Want to find best plan. Practically: Avoid worst plans!
- We will study the System R approach.

Schema for Examples

Sailors (<u>sid: integer</u>, sname: string, rating: integer, age: real) Reserves (<u>sid: integer</u>, <u>bid: integer</u>, <u>day: dates</u>, rname: string) Boats (<u>bid: integer</u>, <u>bname</u>: string, <u>colour</u>: string)

• Reserves:

Each tuple is 40 bytes long, 100 tuples per page, 1000 pages.

- Sailors:
 - Each tuple is 50 bytes long, 80 tuples per page, 500 pages.

Query Blocks: Units of Optimization SELECT S.sname An SQL query is parsed into a FROM Sailors S collection of query blocks, and these are WHERE S.age IN optimized one block at a time. (SELECT MAX (S2.age) Query block is an SQL query with no FROM Sailors S2 nesting, one SELECT, one FROM and GROUP BY S2.rating) at most one WHERE, HAVING, Outer block Nested block GROUP BY Nested blocks are usually treated as

Nested blocks are usually treated as calls to a subroutine, made once per outer tuple. (This is an oversimplification, but serves for now.)

CISC 432/832

Query Blocks

For each sailor with the highest rating (over all salilors) and at least 2 reservations for red boats, find the sailor id and the earliest date on which the sailor has a reservation for a red boat.

SELECT S.sid, MIN (R.day) FROM Sailors S, Reserves R, Boats B WHERE S.sid = R.sid AND R.bid = B.bid AND B.colour = 'red' AND S.rating = (SELECT MAX S2.rating FROM Sailors S2) GROUP BY S.sid

6

HAVING COUNT(*) > 1 CISC 432/832





Relational Algebra Equivalences

• Allow us to choose different join orders and to

`push' selections and projections ahead of joins. • <u>Selections</u>: $\sigma_{c1,\ldots,cn}(R) \equiv \sigma_{c1}(\ldots,\sigma_{cn}(R))$ (*Cascade*) $\sigma_{c1}(\sigma_{c2}(R)) \equiv \sigma_{c2}(\sigma_{c1}(R))$ (*Commu* (Commute) • <u>Projections</u>: $\pi_{a1}(R) \equiv \pi_{a1}(\dots(\pi_{an}(R)))$ (Cascade)

* <u>*Ioins:*</u> $R \bowtie (S \bowtie T) \equiv (R \bowtie S) \bowtie T$ (Associative) (Commute) $(R \bowtie S) \equiv (S \bowtie R)$

9

More Equivalences

- A projection commutes with a selection that only uses attributes retained by the projection.
- Selection between attributes of the two arguments of a cross-product converts cross-product to a join.
- A selection on just attributes of R commutes with $R \bowtie S$. (i.e., $\sigma(R \bowtie S) \equiv \sigma(R) \bowtie S$)
- Similarly, if a projection follows a join R ⋈ S, we can `push' it by retaining only attributes of R (and S) that are needed for the join or are kept by the projection.

CISC 432/832

10

Enumeration of Alternative Plans

- There are two main cases:
 - Single-relation plans
 - Multiple-relation plans
- For queries over a single relation, queries consist of a combination of selects, projects, and aggregate ops:
 - Each available access path (file scan / index) is considered, and the one with the least estimated cost is chosen.
 - The different operations are essentially carried out together (e.g., if an index is used for a selection, projection is done for each retrieved tuple, and the resulting tuples are *pipelined* into the aggregate computation).

Cost Estimation

• For each plan considered, must estimate cost:

- Must estimate cost of each operation in plan tree.
 - · Depends on input cardinalities.
 - · We've already discussed how to estimate the cost of
 - operations (sequential scan, index scan, joins, etc.)
- Must also estimate *size of result* for each operation in tree!
 - · Use information about the input relations.
 - For selections and joins, assume independence of predicates.

Cost Estimates for Single-Relation Plans

- Index I on primary key matching selection:
 Cost is Height(I)+1 for a B+ tree, about 1.2 for hash index.
- Clustered index I matching one or more selects:
- (NPages(I)+NPages(R)) * product of RF's of matching selects.
 Non-clustered index I matching one or more selects:
- (NPages(I)+NTuples(R)) * product of RF's of matching selects.
 Sequential scan of file:
- NPages(R).
- + <u>Note:</u> Typically, no duplicate elimination on projections! (Exception: Done if user says DISTINCT.)

Example

SELECT S.sid FROM Sailors S WHERE S.rating=8

14

- If we have an index on *rating*:
 - (1/NKeys(I)) * NTuples(R) = (1/10) * 40000 tuples retrieved.
 Clustered index: (1/NKeys(I)) * (NPages(I)+NPages(R)) =
 - (1/10) * (50+500) pages are retrieved.
 - Unclustered index: (1/NKeys(I)) * (NPages(I)+NTuples(R)) = (1/10) * (50+40000) pages are retrieved.
- If we have an index on *sid*:
 - Would have to retrieve all tuples/pages. With a clustered index, the cost is 50+500, with unclustered index, 50+40000.
- Doing a file scan:
- We retrieve all file pages (500).



Enumeration of Left-Deep Plans

- Left-deep plans differ only in the order of relations, the access method for each relation, and the join method for each join.
- Enumerated using N passes (if N relations joined):
- Pass 1: Find best 1-relation plan for each relation.
- Pass 2: Find best way to join result of each 1-relation plan (as outer) to another relation. (All 2-relation plans.)
- Pass N: Find best way to join result of a (N-1)-relation plan (as outer) to the N'th relation. (All N-relation plans.)
- For each subset of relations, retain only:
- Cheapest plan overall, plus

CISC 432/832

- Cheapest plan for each interesting order of the tuples.

16

Enumeration of Plans (Cont.)

- ORDER BY, GROUP BY, aggregates etc. handled as a final step, using either an `interestingly ordered' plan or an addional sorting operator.
- An N-1 way plan is not combined with an additional relation unless there is a join condition between them, unless all predicates in WHERE have been used up.
 - i.e., avoid Cartesian products if possible.
- In spite of pruning plan space, this approach is still exponential in the # of tables. CISC 432/83 17

Cost Estimation for Multirelation Plans

SELECT attribute list

FROM relation list

WHERE term1 AND ... AND termk • Consider a query block:

- · Maximum # tuples in result is the product of the cardinalities of relations in the FROM clause.
- Reduction factor (RF) associated with each term reflects the impact of the term in reducing result size. Result cardinality = Max # tuples * product of all RF's.
- Multirelation plans are built up by joining one new relation at a time.
 - Cost of join method, plus estimation of join cardinality gives us both cost estimate and result size estimate CISC 432/832

18





FROM Sailors S WHERE EXISTS (SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=103 AND R.sid=S.sid)

SELECT S.sname

Nested block is optimized independently, with the outer tuple considered as providing a selection condition.

- Outer block is optimized with the cost of `calling' nested block computation taken into account.
- Implicit ordering of these blocks means that some good strategies are not considered. The non-nested version of the query is typically optimized better. CISC 429832

Nested block to optimize: SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid=103 AND S.sid= outer value

Equivalent non-nested query: SELECT S.sname FROM Sailors S, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=103 20

Summary

- Query optimization is an important task in a relational DBMS.
- Must understand optimization in order to understand the performance impact of a given database design (relations, indexes) on a workload (set of queries).
- Two parts to optimizing a query:
 - Consider a set of alternative plans.
 - Must prune search space; typically, left-deep plans only. Must estimate cost of each plan that is considered.
 - Must estimate size of result and cost for each plan node. *Key issues*: Statistics, indexes, operator implementations.

CISC 432/832

21

Summary (Cont.)

• Single-relation queries:

- All access paths considered, cheapest is chosen.
- *Issues*: Selections that *match* index, whether index key has all needed fields and/or provides tuples in a desired order.

• Multiple-relation queries:

- All single-relation plans are first enumerated.
- Selections/projections considered as early as possible.
- Next, for each 1-relation plan, all ways of joining another relation (as inner) are considered.
- Next, for each 2-relation plan that is `retained', all ways of joining another relation (as inner) are considered, etc.
- At each level, for each subset of relations, only best plan for each interesting order of tuples is `retained'.

CISC 432/832

22